

CENTRAL BOARD OF ASUM

February 13, 1975

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Montana Rooms of the University Center by President Tom Stockburger.

Minutes: Approved as presented.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND STANDING COMMITTEES:

Stockburger-The president attended the Joint Appropriations Committee meeting regarding the University budget on Wednesday, February 12. He said there was a good discussion held on the needs of the University. President Richard C. Powers has made a statement regarding the HEW settlement and work study case and anyone wanting to read this statement can see the report in the President's office.

HB 656 was introduced on Collective Bargaining. The hearing was held Thursday February 13. The sponsor of the bill was Wayne Johnson of Missoula.

HB 525 was also introduced regarding faculty strikes and academic days lost because of these strikes, etc.

Stockburger reported that the student lobby is doing an outstanding job at the legislature. Anyone wishing to call their representatives can use the hot-line in the ASUM office.

Committee Appointments-Stockburger appointed Therese Hilley to the Academic Standards and Curriculum Review Committee. LYNNE HUFFMAN, ASUM VICE PRESIDENT MOVED RATIFICATION OF THIS APPOINTMENT. MARK WARREN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

Budget and Finance Committee-Budget and Finance recommended that the Baseball Club be allocated \$413. This amount was reached by subtracting \$52.00 from out-of-state travel and \$125 which is the projected income for the group. JOHN NOCKLEBY, ASUM BUSINESS MANAGER, MOVED RATIFICATION OF THE BUDGET AND FINANCE RECOMMENDATION OF \$413. MURRAY SECONDED.

Dave Weingartner, Business Manager of Baseball Club, stated that the Baseball Club would need more than this amount to get started. HUFFMAN MOVED A SUBSTITUTE MOTION OF \$600. OST SECONDED.

Delegate Don Harris asked how many games the club expected to play and if they planned in the future to be funded by the Athletic Department. Weingartner stated that they planned 10-12 games and it would be impossible to expect funding from the Athletic Department in the future.

Vote on substitute motion of \$600. MOTION FAILED. Nockleby made a friendly amendment to his main motion to read \$475 rather than \$413. ROLL CALL VOTE ON MAIN MOTION:

YES: Harris, Huffman, Murray, Nockleby, Ost, Ribi, Warren.

NO: Anderson, Hummel, Lackman.

ABSTAIN: Rice, Steffans, Waite, Washington.

MOTION CARRIED 7-3-4.

Nockleby reported that \$4,982 remained in special allocations.

Program Council - At last meeting CB had moved to cancel the contract made with John Dean to lecture at the University. Program Council came to CB to appeal this decision. Barb Williams, PC Lecture Coordinator, stated that from the response she had received from students she felt that the lecture should be presented.

David Snyder, Director of PC, stated that he felt CB was trying to take over on a job they had set up another agency to do. He said they are acting only because of personality conflicts with the proposed lecturer. If CB does not like the job the PC people are doing they should fire them rather than try to override their decisions. Snyder feels that what is being accomplished is censorship of Program Council by CB. He pointed out that only 3¢ of a person's activity fee would be spent towards this lecture. His compromise was that any student objecting to the lecture can state their objections to Program Council and their portion of the cost of the program will be returned to ASUM.

Nockleby stated that he felt, as financial manager of ASUM, that he has a responsibility to the students and to ASUM to report any financial discrepancies. He does not object to PC bringing John Dean to the campus speak.

Stockburger stated that the question is not whether CB can override any decision made by a committee of ASUM but whether PC is actually a committee of ASUM. Stockburger read the following Divisions of the Constitution and Bylaws: (B) Division 5, Article 1, Section 3; Division VI, Article 5, Section 5; (C) Article 6, Section 11; Stockburgers interpretation of these sections of the Bylaws and Constitution is that Program Council is not a committee of ASUM and has the sole authority over their contracts.

DELEGATE FRED RICE MOVED THAT PROGRAM COUNCIL BE ACCOUNTABLE TO CENTRAL BOARD. THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR. ALL DECISIONS MADE BY PROGRAM COUNCIL ARE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY CENTRAL BOARD. Motion died for lack of a second.

DELEGATE MARK WARREN MOVED THAT PROGRAM COUNCIL IS NOT A COMMITTEE AND IS NOT ACCOUNTABLE TO CB. OST SECONDED.

FIVE MINUTE RECESS WAS CALLED.

Warren withdrew his motion. The chair clarified what issues were at hand: PC is not a committee of ASUM. If CB wishes to become party to making contractual negotiations with PC it would require a Bylaw change. This, however, would not allow for a change in the contractual agreement that has already been made with John Dean.

RICE MOVED THAT IF THE BOARD WOULD NOT AGREE TO DISCUSS THE MATTER OF JOHN DEAN THEN THEY CALL ADJOURNMENT. HUMMEL SECONDED. Motion withdrawn.

NOCKLEBY MOVED TO RESCIND ACTION OF CB LAST WEEK TO CANCEL THE CONTRACT WITH JOHN DEAN. HUFFMAN SECONDED. Delegate Jan Konigsberg suggested that to put PC on the same relationship with CB as the PUB Board, SUB, Kaimin or other committees would not mean that CB would be taking totalitarian control over PC. He asked CB what they saw as the difference between something that brings entertainment and something that publishes entertainment. He stated that in view of John Dean he would like to make a final decision on that matter but would also like to make

the larger decision on whether or not CB should have the power to overrule PC decisions.

HUFFMAN MOVED THE PREVIOUS QUESTION. WARREN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED. ROLL CALL VOTE ON THE MAIN MOTION:

YES: Anderson, Huffman, Nockleby, Ost, Ribí, Steffans, Warren.

NO: Konigsberg, Lackman, Murray.

ABSTAIN: Harris, Hummel, Rice, Waite, Washington.

MOTION CARRIED 7-3-5.

NOCKLEBY MOVED ADJOURNMENT. OST SECONDED. MOTION FAILED. Further discussion followed. DELEGATE GREG HENDERSON MOVED ADJOURNMENT. MURRAY SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Virginia Ogle
ASUM Secretary

ABSENT: Comes Last, Gaub, Hogan.

EXCUSED: Dakin, Garlinghouse, Stark.

1976-77 Budget Request Dates

February 1, 1976 -- Budget Request Applications Available

March 19, 1976 -- Requests Due, 5:00 p.m. The deadline of March 19, 1976 is definite; no applications will be accepted after that date, unless Central Board expressly makes exceptions. All organizations requesting money for 1976-77 must use the Budget Request Application form supplied by ASUM.

Budget and Finance Committee will be revising the Budget Request Applications to make them more workable for Central Board. They will be talking to CB members and organizations to get input of what they would like to see in the Budget Request form. By February 1, 1976 that revision and the printing of the applications will be finished. A month and a half is given to the organizations to prepare and submit the requests. This allows ample time for the organizations to seek help from the ASUM Business Manager in filling out the form.

The By-Law changes necessary to impliment the above proposal:

Division III, Article I, Section 1 -- change March 1st to April 1st.

Division III, Article I, Section 6 -- change four weeks to 10 weeks.

Submitted by: Nils Ribí, ASUM Business Manager
date: January 7, 1976